

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
COM. PET. No.17 of 1999
RESERVE BANK OF INDIA
Versus
THE HELIOS FIINANCE & INVESTMENT LTD.
with

COM PET No.7 of 1999
SHRI KARTAR SINGH
Versus
M/S HELIOS FINANCE & INVESTMENT LTD.
with

COM PET No.18 of 2000
HARINDRA KUMAR SINGH & ORS.
Versus
M/S HELIOS FINANCE & INVESTMENT LTD.
with

COM PET No.4 of 2000
RESERVE BANK OF INDIA
Versus
HELIOS CORPORATION LIMITED
with

COM PET No.7 of 2000
NAND GOPAL & ORS.
Versus
M/S HALIOS CORPORATION LTD. & ORS.
with

COM PET No.8 of 1999
MR.T.N.BITHAR
Versus
M/S HELIOS CORPORATION LTD.
with

COM PET No.6 of 1999
MR.S.R.SOIN
Versus
M/S HELIOS MARKETING & SERVICES LTD.
with

COM PET No.9 of 1999
MRS.ANITA GOEL
Versus
M/S HELIOS PLANTATION & DEVP.LTD.
with

COM PET No.6 of 2000
DEVENDRA KR.RAY & ORS.
Versus
M/S HELIOS PLANTATION & DEVE.LTD.

69/ 27.03.2009 It transpires that some persons claiming to be
depositors/investors/unsecured creditors have filed applications,

which are at Flags-32A, 32B, 32C, 32D, 32E, 32F, 32G and 32H for being added as parties to Company Petition No.17 of 1999.

This court feels that they are not necessary parties for disposing of the instant company petition and they may have some interest only when the claims are invited from the depositors/investors/unsecured creditors under section 474 of the Companies Act. In the said circumstances, let all these interlocutory applications be considered at the said relevant time.

harish/

(S.N.Hussain, J.)

